

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/811,835	GOTO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Helen Rossoshek	2825	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Luan Dow in behalf of Donald Studebaker  
Reg32,815.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 December 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1,6-9,10

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called to propose to cancel claims 6-9 due allowance; also to amend claims 1 and 10 to overcome rejection under 35 USC § 101.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)